



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claims 4 and 9 applicant has used such as language which is a linking term, the examiner suggested deleting the recitation such as CitremLR10tm as this is trademarked and should not be used in claims. In claim 9, the such as lecithin was deleted but a dependent claim from claim 1 was suggested by applicant's rep to provide an emulsion according to claim 1 which is essentially free of lecithin which is now new claim 15. Claims 10 and 13 had minor typographical errors which were corrected by examiner's amendment. Changes to be made to the aforementioned claims were authorized by applicant's representative by Examiner's Amendment..